## ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REGULATIONS CHECKLIST

© ETCI, INC.

## INTRODUCTION

This checklist is based on the Council on Environmental Quality requirements for Environmental Impact Statements in 40 CFR 1500-1508, and those contained in the National Environmental Policy Act itself. It also includes space for customization to add agency-specific requirements for both content and format.

Each requirement of NEPA or the CEQ regulations is cited in column 2. Therefore, this checklist can serve both as a tool for reviewers and a tool for document preparers who wish to ensure that each document complies with all the necessary CEQ regulatory requirements. The checklist is arranged by chapters in an EIS: Purpose and Need, Alternatives, Affected Environment, and Environmental Consequences. Sections also are provided to ensure that the cover sheet, summary, list of preparers, and Record of Decision meet regulatory requirements.

## Council on Environmental Quality Regulation EIS Checklist

Reviewed by:	Date:	Page of
•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Documentation Requirement	CEQ / NEPA Reference	+/-	Remarks
Cover Sheet:			
• less than 1 page	§1502.11		
name of lead agency	§1502.11 (a)		
names of any cooperating agencies	§1502.11 (a)		
title of proposed action	§1502.11 (b)		
location (state and county) of action	§1502.11 (b)		
name, address, and phone of contact	§1502.11 (c)		
• type of document (draft, final, suppl)	§1502.11 (d)		
one-paragraph abstract	§1502.11 (e)		
date comments must be received	§1502.11 (f)		
<ul> <li>any agency-specific requirements</li> <li>•</li> <li>•</li> <li>•</li> <li>•</li> <li>•</li> </ul>	[agency rules]		

Documentation Requirement	CEQ / NEPA Reference	+/-	Remarks	
Summary:				
• less than 15 pages	§1502.12			
stress major conclusions	§1502.12			
• stress areas of controversy	§1502.12			
stress issues raised by agencies	§1502.12			
stress issues raised by public	§1502.12			
• stress issues to be resolved	§1502.12			
stress choice among alternatives	§1502.12			
Purpose and Need:	Purpose and Need:			
brief description	§1502.13			
agency purpose and need	§1502.13			
related to alternatives including the proposed action	§1502.13			

Documentation Requirement	CEQ / NEPA Reference	+/-	Remarks		
Alternatives Incl. Proposed Action:	Iternatives Incl. Proposed Action:				
based on information /analysis in sections on Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences	§1502.14				
presents impacts in comparative form	§1502.14				
• sharply defines issues	§1502.14				
provides clear basis for choice among options	§1502.14				
rigorously explores all reasonable alternatives	§1502.14 (a)				
objectively evaluates all reasonable alternatives	§1502.14 (a)				
describes alternatives eliminated from detailed study, and states why	§1502.14 (a)				
devotes substantial treatment to each alternative examined in detail	§1502.14 (b)				
includes reasonable alternatives not within the jurisdiction of the agency	§1502.14 (c)				
• includes No Action	§1502.14 (d)				
identifies the agency's preferred alternative, if one or more exists	§1502.14 (e)				
• optional in draft					
required in final, unless another law prohibits it					

	Documentation Requirement	CEQ / NEPA Reference	+/-	Remarks
•	range encompasses those to be considered by agency decision maker	§1502.2 (e)		
•	includes mitigation measures not described elsewhere	§1502.14 (f)		

	Documentation Requirement	CEQ / NEPA Reference	+/-	Remarks
Aff	Tected Environment:			
•	succinct description	§1502.15		
•	areas to be affected or created	§1502.15		
•	no longer than necessary to understand the effects of alternatives	§1502.15		
•	commensurate with importance of impacts	§1502.15		
•	summarize, consolidate, or reference less important material	§1502.15		
•	concentrates effort and attention on important issues	§1502.15		

	Documentation Requirement	CEQ / NEPA Reference	+/-	Remarks	
Env	Environmental Consequences:				
•	scientific and' analytic basis for comparison of alternatives	§1502.16			
•	consolidates discussion of NEPA requirements:	§1502.16			
•	environmental impacts of proposed action	102(2)(C) i			
•	adverse effects which cannot be avoided	102(2)(C) ii			
•	relationship between short-term uses and long-term productivity	102(2)(C) iv			
•	irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources	102(2)(C) v)			
•	alternatives as needed	102(2)(C) iii			
•	direct effects and their significance	§ 1502.16 (a)			
•	indirect effects and significance	§ 1502.16 (b)			
•	conflicts with other plans, policies	§ 1502.16 (c)			
•	energy requirements and conservation potentials of alternatives and mitigation measures	§ 1502.16 (e)			
•	natural or depletable resource requirements and conservation potentials of alternatives and mitigation measures	§ 1502.16 (f)			
•	urban quality, historic and cultural resources, and design of the built environment, including reuse and	§ 1502.16 (g)			

Documentation Requirement	CEQ / NEPA Reference	+/-	Remarks
conservation potential of alternatives and mitigation measures			
mitigation measures	§ 1502.16 (h)		
cumulative impacts	§ 1508.7		

Documentation Requirement	CEQ / NEPA Reference	+/-	Remarks
List of Preparers:			
normally less than 2 pages	§ 1502.17		
names and qualifications of persons who:	§ 1502.17		
• prepared EIS or sections			
prepared background papers			
include expertise, experience, and professional disciplines of each person	§ 1502.17		
Appendix:	Appendix:		
material prepared specifically for EIS	§ 1502.18		
substantiates fundamental analyses	§ 1502.18		
analytic and relevant to decision	§ 1502.18		
circulated with EIS or available on request	§ 1502.18		

Documentation Requirement	CEQ / NEPA Reference	+/-	Remarks
Other CEQ Requirements:			
<ul> <li>includes a detailed list of agencies, organizations, and persons to whom draft is sent</li> </ul>	§ 1502.19		
normally less than 150 pages (300 pages for proposals of unusual scope or complexity)	§ 1502.7		
length proportional to potential environmental problems and to project size	§ 1502.2(c)		
prepared using an inter-disciplinary approach	§ 1502.6		
disciplines of preparers appropriate to scope and issues identified in scoping process	§ 1502.6		
written in plain language	§ 1502.8		
uses graphics for clarity	§ 1502.8		
<ul> <li>includes incomplete information essential to making a reasoned choice among alternatives</li> </ul>	§ 1502.22(a)		
• if relevant information is missing:	§ 1502.22(b)		
• state it is unavailable			
state its relevance			
summarize existing credible info			

Documentation Requirement	CEQ / NEPA Reference	+/-	Remarks
evaluate impacts based on generally accepted data or methods			
cuts down on bulk by incorporating material by reference when possible	§ 1502.21		
avoids repetitive discussions by identifying other statement(s) it is tiered to	§ 1502.20		
• is analytic, not encyclopedic	§ 1502.2(a)		
discusses impacts in proportion to their significance	§ 1502.2(b)		
<ul> <li>assesses impacts of proposed agency actions rather than justifying decisions already made</li> </ul>	§ 1502.2(g)		
prepared at the earliest possible planning stage	§ 1502.5		
cost-benefit analysis (if needed) included or incorporated by reference	§ 1502.23		
methodologies and scientific sources are identified and referenced	§ 1502.24		
<ul> <li>prepared concurrently with other required surveys and analyses:</li> </ul>	§ 1502.25(a)		
Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act			
National Historic Preservation Act			
Endangered Species Act			
other laws and executive orders			

	Documentation Requirement	CEQ / NEPA Reference	+/-	Remarks
•	lists all Federal permits, licenses, and other entitlements needed	§ 1502.25(b)		
•	all substantive comments on DEIS are included in FEIS	§ 1503.4(b)		
•	includes all agency-specific contents	[agency rules]		
•				
•				

Documentation Requirement	CEQ / NEPA Reference	+/-	Remarks		
Record of Decision:					
• concise, public	§ 1505.2				
states what the decision was	§ 1505.2 (a)				
identifies all alternatives considered	§ 1505.2 (b)				
specifies alternative(s) considered environmentally preferable	§ 1505.2 (b)				
includes relevant factors such as economic and technical considerations and agency statutory mission	§ 1505.2 (b)				
identifies all such factors balanced in making the decision	§1505.2 (b)				
includes any considerations of national policy balanced in making the decision	§ 1505.2 (b)				
states how these factors entered into the decision	§ 1505.2 (b)				
states whether all practicable means to avoid or minimize harm have been adopted, and if not, why they were not	§ 1505.2 (c)				
describes any applicable monitoring and enforcement program for mitigation	§ 1505.2 (c)				